



CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y TECNOLOGÍA AGROALIMENTARIA DE ARAGÓN

Farm resilience: a farmers' perception case study

Muñoz-Ulecia, E., Bernués, A., Casasús, I., Lobón, S., Martín-Collado, D. CITA-Aragón, Animal Production and Health Unit, Av. Montañana 930, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain.







Objectives

• The aim of this work was to analyze:

i) Farmers' perception about strategies to face a situation of climate and market change and,

ii) the influence of farms and farmers' characteristics on those strategies









Methodology

In these situations, would any of these measures improve the continuation of your farm and how important would they be?

	Data		Prolonged drought				Increase in input prices					
			1. Not imp.	2. Little imp.	3. Important	 Considerably imp. 	5. Very imp.	1. Not imp.	2. Little imp.	3. Important	 Considerably imp. 	5. Very imp.
	collection	Reproduction										
	00110011011	Group births in specific periods										
		Incorporate reproductive technology										
		Follow a specific management program for heifers			50							
		Sanitary management										
		Intensify disease prevention and control programs	5									
✓	Reproduction	Eliminate the worst adapted animals										
	•	Feeding										
✓	Sanitary management	Extend the grazing season										
		New grassland areas										
		Modify barn diets										
✓	Feeding	Seek self-sufficiency (self-produced food)										
	General management	General management										
•		Modify herd size										
	• • • •	Introduction of new breeds										
✓	Commercialization	Modernize machinery and facilities										
		Seek technical advice										
		Commercialization										
		Change the type of product										
		Produce under some quality brand										
		Collectively market										
		Diversify the activity within										
		agriculture Diversify off farm activity	(c.)	8	94 9		9 (S		ş		0	2 0
		Diversity off-farm activity										

Methodology

V^g of answers of the same value

Likert Scale

Data processing and analysis

Standardized Likert Scale

- Likert scale and ANOVA Likert scale **ANOVA** - Standardization Farmer 1 Farmer 2 Farm and Farmer Most valued Less valued characteristics actions actions influence in farmers' views 2 1 2 3 4 5 -2 -1 0 1

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y TECNOLOGÍA

Results: Drought



Results: Inputs prices



Results: Farms and farmer characteristics

Scenario	Variable	а	b	ANOVA		Pair-Wise test
	Age	Young (<51)	Old (>51)	F	р	ab
Increase prices	New pastures	0.493	1.126	5.621	0.0251 *	0.026
Scenario	Variable	а	b	AN	OVA	Pair-Wise test
	Fattening	No	Yes	F	р	ab
Increase prices	New pastures	1.08	0.389	6.482	0.0167 *	0.027
increase prices	New machinery	-0.844	-0.248	4.607	0.04 *	0.057
Drought period	New machinery	-0.87	-0.173	6.685	0.0135 *	0.018
Scenario Variable		а	b	AN	Pair-Wise test	
	Land Area	Big (>77 ha)	Small (<77)	F	р	ab
Drought period	Barn diets	1.104	0.166	8.211	0.00654 **	0.00024

Final remarks

- 1. Farmers considered eliminating worst adapted animals, diversifying activity out agriculture and seeking for new pastures and self-sufficiency as some key strategies for both, increase in inputs prices and a period of droughts scenarios.
- 2. In a 2-year-drought scenario farmers considered modifying barn diet as one relevant action, while this wasn't too relevant in an increase in inputs prices scenario.
- 3. Farm and farmers' characteristics such as farmer age, size of agricultural area and whether they fatten in farm or not were relevant to identify how farmers face these challenges.



Final remarks

- 4. Some of the most relevant actions that are usually pointed out when analyzing farming at a systemic level such as introducing more adapted breeds, diversifying farm activity, seeking for external advice or modernizing farm technologies, were considered by farmers as having low importance.
- 5. And as a final remark, note that this study focused on how farmers would adapt to short term scenarios, and that their strategies to adapt to mid or long-term perturbations might be different.







Thank you for your attention









Session 41

How much is enough - the effect of nutrient profiling on carbon footprints of 14 common food products

G.A. McAuliffe, T. Takahashi and M.R.F. Lee Rothamsted Research, Sustainable Agriculture Sciences, North Wyke, Okehampton, EX20 2SB, United Kingdom; graham.mcauliffe@rothamsted.ac.uk

Life cvcle assessment (LCA) of agri-food systems has received criticism on functional units in recent years: namely. those based on mass (e.g. environmental impacts per kg product) fail to reflect the nutritional value of individual commodities. Consequently, a wave of novel research has materialised over the last decade, with a shifting focus from product quantity to quality. Although no single methodological solution has been agreed upon nor uniformly adhered to, one of the more popular options is using nutrient profiling to estimate environmental impacts per proportion of daily nutritional requirements satisfied by a commodity. Derivation of nutrient indices, however, necessitates a selection of nutrients to be included, and the impacts of this decision on LCA results are not generally well-understood. The aim of this study, therefore, was to examine the effect of adopting four different nutrient density scores (NDS) on the relative carbon footprints (CF) amongst 14 food products commonly consumed as protein sources. Mass-based CF from 737 production systems around the world were sourced from a recently-published meta-analysis and recalculated using nutritional data obtained from USDA. NDS were calculated using either 6, 9, 11 or 15 nutrients to encourage and, in all cases, 3 nutrients to discourage (saturated fat, sodium and total sugar). Under the mass-based functional unit (100 g product), animal-derived products almost always showed higher CF than plant-based products. When nutritional quality was accounted for, however, product rankings became less clear-cut. For example, pork and tofu generated global averages of 1.141 and 0.324 kg CO₂-eq/100 g product, yet 0.145 and 0.149 kg CO₂-eq/1% NDS under the 15-3 scoring. This reversal of rank results from superior nutritional composition of pork over tofu and suggests that, if consumed according to optimal dietary intakes, less pork would be required than tofu to achieve the same uptake of nutrients. As more nutrients were added to the NDS, animalbased products tended to perform more favourably, indicating that mass-based evaluation of CF may be biased in favour of plant-based products.

Session 41

Theatre 3

Farm resilience: a farmers' perception case study

E. Muñoz-Ulecia, A. Bernués, I. Casasús and D. Martin-Collado CITA-Aragón, Animal Production and Health Unit, Avda. Montañana 930, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain; emunnozul@cita-aragon.es

In Europe, the number of mountain farms is decreasing due to various socioeconomic drivers. Although mountain livestock farming systems are generally considered as extensive, they are actually very diverse, influenced by both internal (use of natural resources, purchased feedstuffs, farmer's age, etc.) and external factors (agricultural policy, socioeconomic context, environmental conditions, etc.). In addition, farmers need to adapt to crucial challenges that affect agriculture globally, e.g. increasing risk of droughts due to climate change and higher prices of inputs due to market dynamics. Understanding farmers' views on the relevance of actions and strategies to face these challenges is key to study mountain farming resilience. The aim of this work was to analyse: (1) farm resilience strategies according to farmer response to climate and market changes; and (2) the influence of farms and farmer characteristics on those strategies. We carried out a survey on 54 beef farmers in the central Pyrenees (Spain), gathering information about farm structure, management and economic performance. We also measured farmers' perception on the importance of different actions to deal with: (1) 2-year-long drought; and (2) rise of input prices, using a Likert scale from 1 (not important) to 5 (extremely important). Specifically, we considered actions related to pastures and feed management, reproductive management, herd size, external advice, development of quality brands, diversifying farm activity or seeking for other sources of income outside farming. According to farmers, the most relevant actions to face droughts were using new areas of pasture (average relevance of 3.4) or reducing herd size (3.3), in contrast with the lower relevance of seeking for external advice (2.4). Regarding the increase of inputs' price, the highest importance was given to using new areas of pasture (4.2) and extending the grazing season (4.2), as opposed to developing a quality brand (2.6) and seeking for external advice (2.4) that had the lowest importance. Several farm and farmer profile characteristics influenced their views on the relative importance of actions to face these challenges; e.g. farmer age, size of utilized agricultural area, or farm type (fattening on-farm or not).

Assessing pubertal age through testicular and epididymal histology in Bísaro pig G. Paixão, A. Esteves, N. Carolino, M. Pires and R. Payan-Carreira	423
Genetic parameters of feeding behaviour traits in Finnish pig breeds including social effects <i>A.T. Kavlak and P. Uimari</i>	423
Economic optimization of feeding strategy in pig-fattening units with an individual-based model M. Davoudkhani, F. Mahé, J.Y. Dourmad, E. Darrigrand, A. Gohin and F. Garcia-Launay	424
Session 41. Resilient livestock farming systems in the context of climate an market uncertainties	d
Date: Wednesday 28 August 2019; 8.30 – 12.30 Chair: Lee	
Theatre Session 41	
Resilience of livestock farming systems: concepts, methods and insights from case studies on organic <i>G. Martin, M. Bouttes and A. Perrin</i>	424
How much is enough – the effect of nutrient profiling on carbon footprints of 14 common food products G.A. McAuliffe, T. Takahashi and M.R.F. Lee	s 425
Farm resilience: a farmers' perception case study E. Muñoz-Ulecia, A. Bernués, I. Casasús and D. Martin-Collado	425
Resilience of yak farming in Bhutan N. Dorji, M. Derks, P. Dorji, P.W.G.G. Koerkamp and E.A.M. Bokkers	426
Measurement enhanced the operationalization of resilience concept applied to livestock farms M.O. Nozières-Petit, E. Sodre, A. Vidal, S. De Tourdonnet and C.H. Moulin	426
Environmental footprint and efficiency of mountain dairy farms M. Berton, S. Bovolenta, M. Corazzin, L. Gallo, S. Pinterits, M. Ramanzin, W. Ressi, C. Spigarelli, A. Zuliani and E. Sturaro	427
Enhancing resilience of EU livestock systems; what is the role of actors beyond the farm? M. Meuwissen, W. Paas, G. Taveska, E. Wauters, F. Accatino, B. Soriano, M. Tudor, F. Appel and P. Reidsma	427
Organization of an alfalfa hay sector between cereal farms, livestock farms and a local cooperative <i>E. Thiery, G. Brunschwig, P. Veysset and C. Mosnier</i>	428
Performance, longevity and financial impacts of removing productive ewes early from mountain flocks <i>H. Wishart, C. Morgan-Davies, A. Waterhouse and D. McCracken</i>	428
How can we better support the future in dairy farmers from the point of view of the stakeholders? AL. Jacquot, F. Kling-Eveillard and C. Disenhaus	429
How agro–ecological transition could sustain goat keeping in nomadic systems of Iran <i>F. Mirzaei</i>	429
Poster Session 41	
Italian ryegrass yield prediction for forage supply to ruminant livestock farming in South Korea J.L. Peng and L.R. Guan	430

Book of Abstracts of the 70th Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science





Book of abstracts No. 25 (2019) Ghent, Belgium, 26-30 August 2019