GenTORE

Genomic management Tools to Optimise Resilience and Efficiency

Grant agreement n°: 727213

H2020 - Research and Innovation Action

Deliverable 6.2

Paper on identification of key weather perturbations in performance and effect of decrease in temperature

Due date: M54 (Nov 2021)

Actual submission date: M54 (Nov 2021)

Project start date: 1st June 2017 **Duration:** 60 months

Workpackage concerned: WP6

Concerned workpackage leader: Eileen Wall

Lead Beneficiary: SRUC

Dissemination level:

X **PU:** Public (must be available on the website)

☐ **CO:** Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)

☐ **CI:** Classified, as referred to in Commission Decision 2001/844/EC

Table of content

1. Summary

In this paper, submitted to animal, we investigated how beef cattle traits are affected by varying weather and frequency of extreme events. We analysed the effect of average daily precipitation and average daily maximum and minimum temperatures on a range of important carcass traits, including age at slaughter, cold carcass weight, carcass growth rate and conformation and fat score (N= >1.6 million), as well as calf 200-day live weight and growth rate (N= >270 000), using data from abattoirs across Scotland, England and Wales and from calves in herds across Scotland. Animals which experienced higher daily maximum and lower daily minimum temperatures had poorer age at slaughter and carcass growth rates and calves had poorer 200-day weights. Increased precipitation also led to poorer cold carcass weights, conformation scores, calf 200-day weights and calf growth.

We also analysed the effect of frequency of extreme weather events, including heatwaves, cold waves, and dry and wet days. The frequency of heatwaves, dry and wet days were shown to have significant negative effects on almost all traits considered, predicting that an increase in frequency of heatwaves by 1 day per 100 days of life would reduce cold carcass weights by about 200g and increase age at slaughter by about 3 days.

Results show that that varying weather and frequency of extreme weather, across the lifetime of a beef animal, influences traits which affect the potential profit for a beef farmer. These effects may be due to several factors, including direct effects on the animals resilience and efficiency, as well as feed availability and management decisions made by the farmer. However, there is potential to mitigate negative effects through a range of strategies.

2. Introduction

To identify climate resilient beef cattle, a key aim of GenTORE, we first need a better understanding of how they are affected by weather. We have good data on how cattle in the tropics are negatively affected by heat stress for a range of traits including milk yield, health and fertility and growth rates and some good evidence of dairy cattle in Europe experiencing negative effects of both extreme high and low temperatures. However, we lack large scale studies on the effects of weather on beef cattle in temperate environments. Beef cattle have higher upper critical temperatures than dairy cattle, so may be less affected by heat stress. However typically UK beef cattle are not housed as much as dairy which may mean they are more greatly affected by weather. Therefore, our aim was to investigate the effect of weather and frequency of extreme weather events on a range of cattle traits important to beef production in the UK.

3. Results

3.1 Average Weather

Almost all average weather parameters had a significant ($p<0.05$) effect on every trait assessed (Table 1). In summary, increases in average daily maximum temperatures experienced by an animal resulted in poorer age at slaughter, carcass growth rate, calf 200-day weight and calf growth rate, but improved conformation and higher fat scores. Animals which experienced high average daily minimum temperature were associated with improved age at slaughter, cold carcass weight, conformation and fat scores, as well as higher calf 200-day weights, but poorer carcass and calf growth rates. Finally, animals which experienced greater average daily precipitation tended to be younger at slaughter and improved carcass growth rates, but poorer cold carcass weights, conformation and fat scores and poorer calf 200-day weights and calf growth rates.

Table 1

Table of solutions and standard errors (in brackets) for weather variables, including average daily maximum temperature (Tmax), average daily minimum temperature (Tmin) and average daily precipitation (Rain) and interactions from model for each carcass trait and calf trait. All effects are significant where given ($p<0.05$). Non-significant effects are denoted by ns.

	Tmax	Tmin	Rain	Tmin x Tmax	Tmin x Rain
Age at Slaughter (days)	10.17 (0.21)	-1.34 (0.54)	-19.73 (0.65)	-0.86 (0.031)	2.78 (0.10)
Cold Carcass Weight (kg)	ns	2.12 (0.37)	-1.39 (0.44)	-0.19 (0.021)	-0.23 (0.070)
Conformation (15 points)	0.017 (0.006)	0.062 (0.015)	-0.043 (0.018)	-0.005 (0.001)	0.010 (0.003)
Fat Class (15 points)	0.072 (0.008)	0.199 (0.020)	-0.033 (0.024)	ns	-0.014 (0.001)
Carcass Growth Rate (kg/day)	-0.00603 (0.00025)	-0.00216 (0.00063)	0.00730 (0.00077)	0.00060 (0.00004)	-0.00142 (0.00012)
Calf 200-day weight (kg)	-7.19 (1.90)	18.11 (3.98)	-20.82 (7.14)	ns	ns
Calf 200-day growth (kg/day)	-0.0528 (0.0031)	-0.0332 (0.0058)	-0.0490 (0.0096)	0.0060 (0.0003)	-0.0083 (0.0021)

3.2 Extreme Weather

In the models including extreme weather frequencies, where effects were significant ($p<0.05$) an increased frequency of extreme weather days had a negative effect on almost all traits (Table 2), assuming that a reduced age at slaughter and increased fat classes are desirable. Only for conformation score was an increase in frequency of dry days and wet days associated with improved conformation score. The effect of frequency of cold waves was only significant for conformation score ($p<0.05$), where an increase in frequency of cold waves experienced was associated with a lower conformation score. For the calf traits, fewer types of extreme days had effects. Calf

200-day weight was only affected by the frequency of heatwaves and calf growth was only affected by the frequency of heatwaves and dry days.

Table 2
Table of solutions and standard errors (in brackets) for number of extreme weather days per day of life, from model for each carcass trait and calf trait. All effects are significant where given ($p<0.05$). Non-significant effects are denoted by ns.

	Heatwaves	Cold Waves	Dry Days	Wet Days
Age at Slaughter (days)	312.5 (10.7)	ns	167.3 (1.78)	83.18 (1.04)
Cold Carcass Weight (kg)	-20.44 (7.34)	ns	-13.51 (1.23)	-16.84 (0.72)
Conformation (15 points)	-0.80 (0.30)	-4.25 (0.78)	0.31 (0.051)	0.26 (0.030)
Fat Class (15 points)	-2.61 (0.40)	ns	-0.61 (0.067)	-0.53 (0.039)
Carcass Growth Rate (kg/day)	-0.18 (0.013)	ns	-0.082 (0.0022)	-0.050 (0.0013)
Calf 200-day weight (kg)	-1.29 (0.57)	ns	ns	ns
Calf 200-day growth (kg/day)	-0.010 (0.0026)	ns	-0.0065 (0.0011)	ns

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, our results show that that varying weather and frequency of extreme weather, across the lifetime of a beef animal, influences traits which affect the potential profit for a beef farmer. For example, we predict a 1°C increase in average daily maximum temperatures would reduce carcass growth rates by about 6g per day and calf growth rates by about 50g per day. We also predict an increase in frequency of heatwaves by 1 heatwave day per 100 days of life would reduce cold carcass weights by about 200g and increase age at slaughter by about 3 days.

These effects may be due to several factors, including direct effects on the animal, as well as feed availability and management decisions made by the farmer. However, there is potential to mitigate negative effects through a range of strategies, including selective breeding of animals with improved resilience to weather.

5. Partners involved in the work

SRUC

6. Annex – Paper

Paper submitted to animal: The Effects of Weather on Beef Carcass and Growth Traits. H. Bunning, E. Wall.

The Effects of Weather on Beef Carcass and Growth Traits

H. Bunning[a], E. Wall[a]

[a]*Animal Veterinary Science, SRUC, Edinburgh, UK, EH25 9RG*

Corresponding author: H. Bunning, Email: harriet.bunning@sruc.ac.uk

Abstract

To predict the effects of climate change, we need a better understanding of how beef cattle traits are affected by varying weather and frequency of extreme events. We analysed the effect of average daily precipitation and maximum and minimum temperatures on a range of important carcass traits, including age at slaughter, cold carcass weight, carcass growth rate and conformation and fat score (N= >1.6 million), as well as calf 200-day live weight and growth rate (N= >270 000), using data abattoirs across Scotland, England and Wales and calves in herds across Scotland. Animals which experienced higher daily maximum and lower daily minimum temperatures had poorer age at slaughter and carcass growth rates and calves had poorer 200-day weights. Increased precipitation also led to poorer cold carcass weights, conformation scores, calf 200-day weights and calf growth. We also analysed the effect of frequency of extreme weather events, including heatwaves, cold waves, and dry and wet days. The frequency of heatwaves, dry and wet days were shown to have significant negative effects on almost all traits considered, for example, predicting that an increase in frequency of heatwaves by 1 day per 100 days of life would reduce cold carcass weights by about 200g and increase age at slaughter by about 3 days. Results show that that varying weather and frequency of extreme weather, across the lifetime of a beef animal, influences traits which affect

the potential profit for a beef farmer. These effects may be due to several factors, including direct effects on the animal, as well as feed availability and management decisions made by the farmer. However, there is potential to mitigate negative effects through a range of strategies.

Keywords: Climate, Cattle, Heat stress, Extreme weather, Resilience

Implications

Our results show that varying weather and frequency of extreme weather events experienced by a beef animal, influences important beef traits. We predict a 1°C increase in average daily maximum temperatures would reduce carcass growth rates by about 6g per day and calf growth rates by about 50g per day. We also predict an increase in frequency of heatwaves by 1 heatwave day per 100 days of life would reduce cold carcass weights by about 200g and increase age at slaughter by about 3 days. Without mitigation, these effects could reduce profit for farmers as well as increasing environmental impact.

Introduction

Climate change predictions show UK weather is likely to change significantly over the coming decades, both in terms of average weather conditions but also the frequency of extreme weather events (European Economic Area, 2017). There is a need for British livestock farming to adapt to these challenges, both to maintain profits for farmers, but also to reduce further climate and environmental impacts (Wreford and Topp, 2020). However, to plan potential mitigation strategies, we need to understand how varying climate impacts UK livestock farming.

There is good evidence that cattle are affected by climate. In the tropics, cattle experiencing high temperatures (especially combined with high humidity) experience heat stress which has negative impacts on milk production (Mbuthia et al., 2021), health and fertility (Polsky and von Keyserlingk, 2017; Bagath et al., 2019; Herbut et al., 2019) and growth (Brown-Brandl, 2018). Despite cattle in the UK not experiencing these same high temperatures, studies show that even Scottish dairy cattle experience a drop in milk yield due to both extreme highs and lows in temperature (Hill and Wall, 2014). Cold weather also effects other cattle traits. Studies have shown animals that are more exposed to cold weather during winter have lower growth rates (Holmes et al., 1984) and the use of calf jackets, particularly for dairy calves is thought to mitigate this (Robertson, 2020). We also expect precipitation to have an effect on cattle traits, as it affects plant growth (Dellar et al., 2018) and will likely affect grazing feed quality and availability.

The majority of these studies consider the effects on dairy cattle and we lack large scale studies on the effects of weather in temperate environments on beef cattle. Beef cattle have higher upper critical temperatures than dairy cattle (Wreford and Topp, 2020), so may be less affected by heat stress. However typically UK beef cattle are not housed as much as dairy (Smith et al., 2001) which may mean they are more greatly affected by weather. Therefore our aim is to investigate the effect of weather and frequency of extreme weather events on a range of cattle traits important to beef production.

Materials and methods

To investigate the effects of weather on beef production, we analysed two datasets, one consisting of slaughter records from UK abattoir companies across England, Wales and Scotland (Pritchard et al., 2021) and one consisting of calf records in Scotland recorded through the Scottish Government's Beef Efficiency Scheme. These were both combined with data supplied from British Cattle Movement Service (BCMS) and weather data from the MetOffice HadUK-Grid database (Perry, 2004; Hollis and Perry, 2005). Final datasets after removing animals with missing information contained over 1 680 000 abattoir records from animals alive between 2000 to 2019 and over 270 000 calf records from calves alive between 2016-2019.

Animal parameters

Carcass traits included cold carcass weight (CCW), conformation class and fat class. Typically, conformation is assessed using the EUROP classification and fat class using a 1-5 scale. However, most abattoirs further sub-divide these classes. Therefore, these data were transformed to two 15 point scales, where 15 represents the best conformation and the fattest carcasses. Age at slaughter (AAS) was calculated using date of birth from BCMS data and kill date from abattoir data. A measure of carcass growth rate was calculated by dividing CCW by AAS. We call this average daily carcass gain (ADCG), but it is important to note that we have omitted birth weight in this calculation for simplicity as birth weight data was unavailable. Edits were made to remove extreme records, including those more than 3 standard deviations from the mean of CCW, animals which were less than 365 or more than 1095 days old at slaughter and those with an ADCG more than 3 standard deviations from the mean. As well carcass data from abattoirs, we also had live weights for over 270 000 calves in Scotland, measured at approximately 200 days. The actual age at weighing varied from 100-300 days. We used these values to also calculate a calf growth rate trait, dividing the live weight by age at weighing.

A range of other factors and covariates were included. Sex was defined using data from the abattoir as castrated male (n=934,341 or 56%), female (n=527,741 or 31%) or entire male (n=219,722 or 13%). This was checked using data from BCMS where animals were recorded as male or female. For calves, we did not have information about castration status so all calves were recorded as male or female. Breed was defined using the breed code recorded in BCMS. Only animals from breeds with more than 1000 animals were included, resulting in the inclusion of 47 breeds. The most common three were Aberdeen Angus cross (n=287,687), Limousin cross (273,081) and Holstein (212,256).

Data about the dam of each animal was also extracted from BCMS. This included the age of the dam at the birth of the animal. Only individuals with dams older than 365 days were included. This resulted in a dam age range of 371-3649 days with a mean of 1787 days and a standard deviation of 752 days. We also included the proportion of dairy breed in the dam's pedigree as this has been shown to have an important effect on carcass traits, particularly conformation score (Pritchard et al., 2021). This varied from 0.03-100%, with a mean of 80.22% and a standard deviation of 28.31%.

We needed to account for varying management practices which might be regionally distributed and therefore correlated with weather. We achieved this by including two contemporary groups in our model. First, we grouped animals according to their birth location, year and season (BirthHYS), where season was defined as three classes (Feb - May; Jun - Sep; Oct – Jan). We only included animals in BirthHYS groups that contained at least 5 animals. For the abattoir data, this resulted in 111,895 BirthHYS groups, ranging in size from 5 to 527, with a mean size of 15.0 animals. Secondly for the abattoir data only, we grouped animals according to their finishing location, year and season (FinishHYS). We defined finished location as the location where an animals stayed for at least 60 days before slaughter (excluding up to 7 days before death to account for holding animals were moved through before slaughter). This resulted in 53,994 FinishHYS groups, ranging in size from 5 to 975 animals with a mean size of 31.2 animals. Finally, for the abattoir data, the location of death was also included. There were 32 death locations with between 785 and 181,494 animal slaughter records.

Weather parameters

We used weather data from the Met Office HadGrid-UK database, a data set of gridded climate variables derived from the network of UK land surface observations. Variables include daily maximum and daily minimum temperatures and daily total precipitation for each 1km square across the UK. Animal locations and dates of stay were extracted from the BCMS database and the nearest centre of a corresponding km square from the HadGrid data found. This allowed us to calculate the average daily maximum temperature (Tmax), average daily minimum temperature (Tmin) and average daily precipitation (Rain) for the lifetime of each animal.

The daily weather was also used to define the occurrence of extreme weather events, including heatwaves, coldwaves, dry days and wet days. The Met Office definition of a heatwave is a period of at least 3 days where the daily maximum temperature exceeds a threshold. The threshold is specific to the location, with four threshold regions defined by the met office in the UK: London, the South East of England, an area around the South East of England and the rest of the UK, with thresholds of 28°C, 27°C, 26°C and 25°C respectively. For cold waves, a similar definition was used, where a period consisted of at least 3 days where the daily maximum temperature did not exceed 0°C. Wet and dry days were defined as days where rainfall was greater than 7.65mm and less than 0.12mm respectively. These values correspond to 90th and 10th percentile of the daily precipitation across the UK for the period 2000-2019. For wet and dry days no minimum number of consecutive days was required. The total number of each type of extreme day experienced by each animal was calculated and divided by its AAS or age at weighing for calves, to calculate the frequencies of extreme weather days.

Statistical analysis of results

Analyses were carried out using linear fixed effect models using AS-REML and R. Two models were produced for each trait, the first to assess the effect of average weather and the second to assess the effect frequency of extreme weather events. For each carcass trait, all other carcass traits, except ADCG, were included as covariates. For ADCG, AAS and CCW were also not included. For the two calf traits (calf weight and calf growth), no other traits and no FinishHYS or death location were included. We expected interactions between weather to be important so an interaction between Tmax and Tmin and another between Tmin and Rain were included in the average weather models. The generalised model was therefore as follows:

Trait ~ *weather parameters* + *other traits* + sex + breed + BirthHYS + FinishHYS + death location + dam age + dam %dairy

Results

Average weather

Almost all average weather parameters had a significant ($p<0.05$) effect on every trait assessed (Table 1). An increase in AAS, which is undesirable as increases farmer costs, was seen in animals which experienced higher Tmax (β = 10.17, s.e. = 0.21), lower Tmin (β = -1.34, s.e. = 0.54) and lower Rain (β = -19.73, s.e. = 0.65). The effect of the interactions between Tmin-Tmax (β = -0.86, s.e. = 0.031) and Tmin-Rain (β = 2.78, s.e. = 0.10) were also significant for AAS. CCW was not significantly affected by Tmax ($p > 0.05$), but higher weights were associated with higher Tmin (β = 2.12, s.e. = 0.37) and lower Rain (β = -1.39, s.e. = 0.44). Again, the effect of the interactions between Tmin-Tmax (β = -0.19, s.e. = 0.021) and Tmin-Rain (β = -0.23, s.e. = 0.070) were also significant. Higher conformation scores were seen for animals which experienced high Tmax (β = 0.017, s.e. = 0.006) and Tmin (β = 0.062, s.e. = 0.015) and lower Rain (β = -0.043, s.e. = 0.018). Interactions between Tmin-Tmax (β = -0.005, s.e. = 0.001) and Tmin-Rain (β = 0.010, s.e. = 0.003) were also shown to have a significant effect on conformation score. An increase in fat score was seen in animals which experienced higher Tmax (β = 0.072, s.e. = 0.008) and Tmin (β = 0.199, s.e. = 0.020) and lower Rain (β = -0.033, s.e. = 0.024). For fat score, only the interaction between Tmin and Rain (β = -0.014, s.e. = 0.001) was significant ($p<0.05$). For ADCG, higher growth rates were associated with animals that experiences lower Tmax (β = -0.0060, s.e. = 0.00025) and Tmin (β =-0.0022, s.e. = 0.00063) and higher Rain (β = 0.0073, s.e. = 0.00077). Again, interactions between Tmin-Tmax (β = 0.00060, s.e. = 0.00004) and Tmin-Rain (β = -0.0014, s.e. = 0.00012) were also shown to have a significant effect on ADCG.

For the calf traits, greater 200-day live weights were associated with animals that had experienced lower Tmax (β = -7.19, s.e. = 1.90), higher Tmin (β = 18.11, s.e. = 3.98) and lower Rain (β = -20.82, s.e. = 7.14). Interactions between weather effects were not significant (p>0.05). An increase in calf growth rate was seen for animals that had experienced lower Tmax (β = -0.053, s.e. = 0.0031), Tmin (β = -0.033, s.e. = 0.0058) and Rain (β = -0.049, s.e. = 0.0096). Interactions between Tmin-Tmax (β = 0.0060, s.e. = 0.0003) and Tmin-Rain (β = -0.0083, s.e. = 0.0021) were also shown to have a significant effect on calf growth rate.

Extreme weather

In the models including extreme weather frequencies, where effects were significant (p<0.05) an increased frequency of extreme weather days had a negative effect on almost all traits (Table 2), assuming that a reduced AAS and increased fat classes are desirable. Only for conformation score was an increase in frequency of dry days (β = 0.31, s.e. = 0.051) and wet days (β = 0.26, s.e. = 0.030) associated with improved conformation score. The effect of frequency of cold waves was only significant for conformation score (p<0.05), where an increase in frequency of cold waves experienced was associated with a lower conformation score (β = -4.25, s.e. = 0.78). For the calf traits, fewer types of extreme days had effects. Calf 200-day weight was only affected by the frequency of heatwaves (β = -1.29, s.e. = 0.57) and calf growth was only affected by the frequency of heatwaves (β = -0.010, s.e. = 0.0026) and dry days (β = -0.0065, s.e. = 0.0011).

Discussion

It is clear from these results that varying weather across the lifetime of a beef animal influences traits which affect the potential profit for a beef farmer. These effects may be due to several factors, including the effects of weather on feed quality and availability, management decisions made by the farmer and the physiology and behaviour of the animal (Wreford and Topp, 2020).

An increase in average daily maximum temperature led to poorer AAS, calf weight and calf and carcass growth rates, but improved conformation and higher fat class. Animals which have experienced high average temperatures (especially alongside high humidity which we were unable to account for in these analyses) are more likely to have experienced heat stress, which has been shown to have a detrimental impact on growth rate in beef cattle, due to both reduced feed intake but also direct effects on metabolism (Brown-Brandl, 2018). Typically, these effects are considered in countries with warmer climates, but effects have been seen in UK dairy cattle where extremes of THI led to reduced milk yields (Hill and Wall, 2014). The threshold where UK animals will be affected will be much lower than those acclimated to warmer climates (Collier et al., 2019) which is why we expect to see effects even at the lower temperatures seen in the UK. Supporting this, our results show than animals which experience an increased number of heat waves days per day of life tend to have poorer AAS, conformation and fat score and carcass and calf weights and growth rates. On these extreme hot days, cattle feed less, both to avoid leaving shaded areas but also to reduce heat production in the rumen, as well as expending additional energy to attempt to dissipate heat (Van laer et al., 2014).

An increase in the average daily minimum temperature experienced by an animal has some similar effects to those seen for maximum temperature. However, whereas calf weights were reduced and CCW was not significantly affected with increasing maximum temperatures, both carcass and calf weights increased with increasing minimum temperatures. Cold temperatures will reduce forage yields as growth is limited (Hurtado-Uria et al., 2013), which may lead to reduced weights if feed availability is also limited, but this may be mitigated by supplementary feeding. Cold temperatures will also have a direct impact on the physiology of the animal. Outside the boundaries of the thermo-neutral zone, animals must expend energy, in this case to remain warm (Van laer et al., 2014). This lower limit is higher for calves than adult animals (Van laer et al., 2014) so we expect their weights to be more negatively affected, which is in line with our results. One unexpected result is the increase in fat class seen under increasing daily minimum temperatures. We might expect animals experiencing less cold weather to have reduced levels of subcutaneous fat, decreasing the fat score (Van laer et al., 2014). Our result may be due to the reduced energy requirements for maintenance under warmer daily minimum temperatures, allowing more energy to be stored as fat. Despite the important effects of average daily minimum temperature, we did not see significant effects for frequency of cold waves, except for a decrease in conformation score (which is in line with the effect of average daily minimum temperature). This is possibly due to the relatively number of cold waves seen within the dataset compared to heatwaves.

Our results show that increased rainfall leads to a poorer CCW, conformation score, fat score, calf weight and calf growth rate. Increased rainfall is associated with increased risk of fluke infection (Skuce et al., 2014). Presence of a fluke infection has been shown to be associated with reduced CCW and lower conformation and fat scores (Bellet et al., 2016) which corresponds with our results. However increased rainfall also led to improved growth rates for abattoir animals and lower AAS. This beneficial effect seems unlikely to be due to a direct effect on either the physiology or behaviour of the cattle, therefore this is more likely to be due to either a change in feed availability or some other change in management. Indeed, we expect increased rainfall to lead to improved pasture yields (Dellar et al., 2018) which could account for this increase in growth rate and reduced age to slaughter. However, when we consider the number of extreme wet days experienced by an animal, we predict a reduction in carcass growth rates and poorer AAS, showing that although generally more rain may have some beneficial effects, days of extreme wet weather are detrimental to growth. This could be due to several factors, including a change in animal behaviour during these extreme periods which leads to reduced feeding either to avoid rain or even flooding. Alternatively, these could reflect damage to pastures leading to reduced feed availability or changes in management surrounding these days, for example limited access to provide supplementary feed. Extreme dry days also led to poorer AAS, CCW and both carcass and calf growth rates. This is unlikely to be a direct effect on the physiology of animal, as animals will have water provisions even during dry periods. The effect is more likely due to a reduced pasture yield and quality as grass growth is severely limited during dry periods (Dellar et al., 2018). This reduces feed quality and availability for grazing animals.

Current climate change projections suggest that in the UK summer and winter temperatures will increase, whilst summer rainfall will decrease and winter rainfall will increase (Wreford and Topp, 2020). Without changes to management or acclimatisation of cattle, these changes may lead to some negative impacts to beef production. We predict a 1°C increase in average daily maximum temperatures would reduce carcass growth rates by about 6g per day and calf growth rates by about 50g per day. These effects could lead to longer time to slaughter or reduced carcass weights, reducing the potential profit for farmers as well as increasing environmental impact by increasing GHG emissions.

Unlike the more gradual change in climate, animals are unlikely to acclimatise to extreme weather events (Collier et al., 2019) and these may also be more difficult to mitigate through management changes. Frequency of these extreme events are likely to increase (European Economic Area, 2017) and our results predict a negative impact of this on almost all traits. For example, our results predict an increase in frequency of heatwaves by one heatwave day per 100 days of life would reduce CCW by about 200g and increase AAS by about three days, again reducing the potential profit for farmers as well as increasing environmental impact.

There is potential to reduce these effects through a number of varying strategies. Planting more hedges and trees around pastures to provide cover could negate the negative effects of heat, cold and rain on the animal (Van laer et al., 2014) and this strategy would be relatively inexpensive and potentially provide environmental benefits (Forman and Baudry, 1984). More substantial shelter could also be provided in the form of housing, particularly for some outwintered cattle. For housed cattle experiencing heat stress, better ventilation could be used to mitigate the negative effects (Van laer et al., 2014). Where weather affects pasture growth, more supplementary feeding may be required, although this may be costly, both for farmer profit but also environmental impact (Sasu-Boakye et al., 2014). In addition to these strategies, farmers may want to consider selecting breeds or genotypes which are more resilient and therefore less affected by varying weather (Sánchez-Molano et al., 2020; Poppe et al., 2021).

In conclusion, our results show that that varying weather and frequency of extreme weather, across the lifetime of a beef animal, influences traits which affect the potential profit for a beef farmer. These effects may be due to several factors, including direct effects on the animal, as well as feed availability and management decisions made by the farmer. However, there is potential to mitigate negative effects through a range of strategies.

Ethics approval

Not applicable

Author contributions

H Bunning: Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation, Writing **E Wall:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition

Declaration of interest

None

Acknowledgements

None

Financial support statement

The research leading to these results has received funding from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme - GenTORE - under grant agreement N° 727213.

Research and data collection as part of the Beef Efficiency Scheme funded by Scottish Government Strategic Research Programme 2016-2021.

References

Bagath M, Krishnan G, Devaraj C, Rashamol VP, Pragna P, Lees AM and Sejian V 2019. The impact of heat stress on the immune system in dairy cattle: A review. Research in Veterinary Science 126, 94–102.

Bellet C, Green MJ, Vickers M, Forbes A, Berry E and Kaler J 2016. Ostertagia spp., rumen fluke and liver fluke single- and poly-infections in cattle: An abattoir study of prevalence and production impacts in England and Wales. Preventive Veterinary

Medicine 132, 98–106.

Brown-Brandl TM 2018. Understanding heat stress in beef cattle. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia 47.

Collier RJ, Baumgard LH, Zimbelman RB and Xiao Y 2019. Heat stress: Physiology of acclimation and adaptation. Animal Frontiers 9, 12–19.

Dellar M, Topp CFE, Banos G and Wall E 2018. A meta-analysis on the effects of climate change on the yield and quality of European pastures. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 265, 413–420.

European Economic Area 2017. Climate change, impacts and vulnerability in Europe 2016. Copenhagen, Denmark.

Forman RTT and Baudry J 1984. Hedgerows and hedgerow networks in landscape ecology. Environmental Management 1984 8:6 8, 495–510.

Herbut P, Angrecka S, Godyń D and Hoffmann G 2019. The Physiological and Productivity Effects of Heat Stress in Cattle-A Review. Annals of Animal Science 19, 579–593.

Hill DL and Wall E 2014. Dairy cattle in a temperate climate: The effects of weather on milk yield and composition depend on management. Animal 9, 138–149.

Hollis D and Perry M 2005. Development of a new set of long-term climate averages for the UK. International Journal of Climatology 25, 1023–1039.

Holmes CW, Christensen R, McLean NA and Lockyer J 1984. Effects of winter weather on the growth rate and heat production of dairy cattle. New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research 21, 549–556.

Hurtado-Uria C, Hennessy D, Shalloo L, O'Connor D and Delaby L 2013. Relationships between meteorological data and grass growth over time in the south of Ireland. Irish Geography 46, 175–201.

Van laer E, Moons CPH, Sonck B and Tuyttens FAM 2014. Importance of outdoor shelter for cattle in temperate climates. Livestock Science 159, 87–101.

Mbuthia JM, Mayer M and Reinsch N 2021. Modeling heat stress effects on dairy cattle milk production in a tropical environment using test-day records and random regression models. Animal 15, 100222.

Perry M 2004. The generation of monthly gridded datasets for a range of climatic variables over the United Kingdom. Met Office, Exeter, UK, 1–20.

Polsky L and von Keyserlingk MAG 2017. Invited review: Effects of heat stress on dairy cattle welfare. Journal of Dairy Science 100, 8645–8657.

Poppe M, Mulder HA and Veerkamp RF 2021. Validation of resilience indicators by estimating genetic correlations among daughter groups and with yield responses to a heat wave and disturbances at herd level. Journal of Dairy Science 104, 8094–8106.

Pritchard TC, Wall E and Coffey MP 2021. Genetic parameters for carcase measurements and age at slaughter in commercial cattle. Animal 15, 100090.

Robertson J 2020. Calf jackets: a review of science and practice. Livestock 25, 284–290.

Sánchez-Molano E, Kapsona V V., Oikonomou S, McLaren A, Lambe N, Conington J and Banos G 2020. Breeding strategies for animal resilience to weather variation in meat sheep. BMC Genetics 21, 1–11.

Sasu-Boakye Y, Cederberg C and Wirsenius S 2014. Localising livestock protein feed production and the impact on land use and greenhouse gas emissions. animal 8, 1339–1348.

Skuce P, Van Dijk J, Smith D and Morgan E 2014. Editorial: Implications of extreme weather events for risk of fluke infection. Veterinary Record 175, 198–200.

Smith KA, Brewer AJ, Crabb J and Dauven A 2001. A survey of the production and use of animal manures in England and Wales. III. Cattle manures. Soil Use and Management 17, 77–87.

Wreford A and Topp CFE 2020. Impacts of climate change on livestock and possible adaptations: A case study of the United Kingdom. Agricultural Systems 178, 102737.

Tables

Table 1

Table of solutions and standard errors (in brackets) for weather variables and interactions from model for each carcass trait and calf trait. All effects are significant where given (p<0.05). Non-significant effects are denoted by ns.

	Tmax	**Tmin**	**Rain**	**Tmin x Tmax**	**Tmin x Rain**
Age at Slaughter (days)	10.17 (0.21)	-1.34 (0.54)	-19.73 (0.65)	-0.86 (0.031)	2.78 (0.10)
Cold Carcass Weight (kg)	ns	2.12 (0.37)	-1.39 (0.44)	-0.19 (0.021)	-0.23 (0.070)
Conformation (15 points)	0.017 (0.006)	0.062 (0.015)	-0.043 (0.018)	-0.005 (0.001)	0.010 (0.003)
Fat Class (15 points)	0.072 (0.008)	0.199 (0.020)	-0.033 (0.024)	ns	-0.014 (0.001)
ADCG (kg/day)	-0.00603 (0.00025)	-0.00216 (0.00063)	0.00730 (0.00077)	0.00060 (0.00004)	-0.00142 (0.00012)
Calf 200-day weight (kg)	-7.19 (1.90)	18.11 (3.98)	-20.82 (7.14)	ns	ns
Calf 200-day growth (kg/day)	-0.0528 (0.0031)	-0.0332 (0.0058)	-0.0490 (0.0096)	0.0060 (0.0003)	-0.0083 (0.0021)

Table 2

Table of solutions and standard errors (in brackets) for number of extreme weather days per day of life, from model for each carcass trait and calf trait. All effects are significant where given ($p<0.05$). Non-significant effects are denoted by ns.

	Heatwaves	**Cold Waves**	**Dry Days**	**Wet Days**
Age at Slaughter (days)	312.5 (10.7)	ns	167.3 (1.78)	83.18 (1.04)
Cold Carcass Weight (kg)	-20.44 (7.34)	ns	-13.51 (1.23)	-16.84 (0.72)
Conformation (15 points)	-0.80 (0.30)	-4.25 (0.78)	0.31 (0.051)	0.26 (0.030)
Fat Class (15 points)	-2.61 (0.40)	ns	-0.61 (0.067)	-0.53 (0.039)
ADCG (kg/day)	-0.18 (0.013)	ns	-0.082 (0.0022)	-0.050 (0.0013)
Calf 200-day weight (kg)	-1.29 (0.57)	ns	ns	ns
Calf 200-day growth (kg/day)	-0.010 (0.0026)	ns	-0.0065 (0.0011)	ns